

# EXACT EXPONENT OF REMAINDER TERM OF GELFOND'S DIGIT THEOREM IN BINARY CASE

VLADIMIR SHEVELEV

**ABSTRACT.** We give a simple formula for the exact exponent in the remainder term of Gelfond's digit theorem in the binary case.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Denote for integer  $m > 1$ ,  $a \in [0, m - 1]$ .

$$(1) \quad T_{m,a}^{(j)}(x) = \sum_{0 \leq n < x, n \equiv a \pmod{m}, s(n) \equiv j \pmod{2}} 1, \quad j = 1, 2$$

where  $s(n)$  is the number of 1's in the binary expansion of  $n$ .

A. O. Gelfond [5] proved that

$$(2) \quad T_{m,a}^{(j)}(x) = \frac{x}{2m} + O(x^\lambda), \quad j = 0, 1,$$

where

$$(3) \quad \lambda = \frac{\ln 3}{\ln 4} = 0.79248125\dots$$

Recently, the author proved [9] that the exponent  $\lambda$  in the remainder term in (2) is the best possible when  $m$  is a multiple of 3 and is not the best possible otherwise.

In this paper we give a simple formula for the exact exponent in the remainder term of (2) for an arbitrary  $m$ . Our method is based on constructing a recursion relation for the Newman-like sum corresponding to (1)

$$(4) \quad S_{m,a}(x) = \sum_{0 \leq n < x, n \equiv a \pmod{m}} (-1)^{s(n)},$$

It is sufficient for our purposes to deal with odd numbers  $m$ . Indeed, it is easy to see that, if  $m$  is even, then

$$(5) \quad S_{m,a}(2x) = (-1)^a S_{\frac{m}{2}, \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor}(x).$$

For an odd  $m > 1$ , consider the number  $r = r(m)$  of distinct cyclotomic cosets of 2 modulo  $m$  [6, pp.104-105]. E.g.,  $r(15) = 4$  since for  $m = 15$  we have the following 4 cyclotomic cosets of 2:  $\{1, 2, 4, 8\}$ ,  $\{3, 6, 12, 9\}$ ,  $\{5, 10\}$ ,  $\{7, 14, 13, 11\}$ .

Note that, if  $C_1, \dots, C_r$  are all different cyclotomic cosets of 2 mod  $m$ , then

$$(6) \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^r C_j = \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}, \quad C_{j_1} \cap C_{j_2} = \emptyset, \quad j_1 \neq j_2.$$

Let  $h$  be the least common multiple of  $|C_1|, \dots, |C_r|$ :

$$(7) \quad h = [|C_1|, \dots, |C_r|]$$

Note that  $h$  is of order 2 modulo  $m$ . (This follows easily, e.g., from Exercise 3, p. 104 in [8]).

**Definition 1.** *The exact exponent in the remainder term in (2) is  $\alpha = \alpha(m)$  if*

$$T_{m,a}^j(x) = \frac{x}{2m} + O(x^{\alpha+\varepsilon}),$$

and

$$T_{m,a}^j(x) = \frac{x}{2m} + \Omega(x^{\alpha-\varepsilon}), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $m \geq 3$  is odd, then the exact exponent in the remainder term in (2) is*

$$(8) \quad \alpha = \max_{1 \leq l \leq m-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{h \ln 2} \sum_{k=0}^{h-1} \left( \ln \left| \sin \frac{\pi l 2^k}{m} \right| \right) \right)$$

Note that, if 2 is a primitive root of an odd prime  $p$ , then  $r = 1$ ,  $h = p-1$ . As a corollary of Theorem 1 we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $p$  is an odd prime, for which 2 is a primitive root, then the exact exponent in the remainder term in (2) is*

$$(9) \quad \alpha = \frac{\ln p}{(p-1)\ln 2}.$$

Theorem 2 generalizes the well-known result for  $p = 3$  ([7], [2], [1]). Furthermore, we say that 2 is a *semiprimitive root* modulo  $p$  if 2 is of order  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  modulo  $p$  and the congruence  $2^x \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  is not solvable. E.g., 2 is of order 8 mod 17, but the congruence  $2^x \equiv -1 \pmod{17}$  has the solution  $x = 4$ . Therefore, 2 is not a semiprimitive root of 17. The first primes for which 2 is a semiprimitive root are (see[10], A 139035)

$$(10) \quad 7, 23, 47, 71, 79, 103, 167, 191, 199, 239, 263, \dots$$

For these primes we have  $r = 2$ ,  $h = \frac{p-1}{2}$ . As a second corollary of Theorem 1 we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $p$  is an odd prime for which 2 is a semiprimitive root, then the exact exponent  $\alpha$  in the remainder term in (2) is also given by (9).*

In Section 2 we provide an explicit formula for  $S_{m,a}(x)$ , while in Sections 3-5 we prove Theorems 1-3.

## 2. EXPLICIT FORMULA FOR $S_{m,a}(x)$

Let  $\lfloor x \rfloor = N$ . We have

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{m,a}(N) &= \sum_{n=0, m|n-a}^{N-1} (-1)^{s(n)} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{s(n)} e^{2\pi i \frac{(n-a)t}{m}} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i (\frac{t}{m}(n-a) + \frac{1}{2}s(n))}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the interior sum is of the form

$$(12) \quad \Phi_{a,\beta}(N) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i (\beta(n-a) + \frac{1}{2}s(n))}, \quad 0 \leq \beta < 1.$$

Putting

$$(13) \quad F_\beta(N) = e^{2\pi i \beta a} \Phi_{a,\beta}(N),$$

we note that  $F_\beta(N)$  does not depend on  $a$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $N = 2^{\nu_0} + 2^{\nu_1} + \dots + 2^{\nu_\sigma}$ ,  $\nu_0 > \nu_r > \dots > \nu_\sigma \geq 0$ , then*

$$(14) \quad F_\beta(N) = \sum_{g=0}^{\sigma} e^{2\pi i(\beta \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} 2^{\nu_j} + \frac{g}{2})} \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_g-1} (1 + e^{2\pi i(\beta 2^k + \frac{1}{2})}).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\sigma = 0$ . Then by (12) and (13)

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} F_\beta(N) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{s(n)} e^{2\pi i \beta n} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{\nu_0-1} e^{2\pi i \beta 2^j} + \sum_{0 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq \nu_0-1} e^{2\pi i \beta(2^{j_1} + 2^{j_2})} - \dots \\ &= \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_0-1} (1 - e^{2\pi i \beta 2^k}), \end{aligned}$$

which corresponds to (14) for  $\sigma = 0$ .

Assuming that (14) is valid for every  $N$  with  $s(N) = \sigma + 1$ , let us consider  $N_1 = 2^{\nu_\sigma} b + 2^{\nu_{\sigma+1}}$  where  $b$  is odd,  $s(b) = \sigma + 1$  and  $\nu_{\sigma+1} < \nu_\sigma$ . Let

$$N = 2^{\nu_\sigma} b = 2^{\nu_0} + \dots + 2^{\nu_\sigma}; \quad N_1 = 2^{\nu_0} + \dots + 2^{\nu_\sigma} + 2^{\nu_{\sigma+1}}.$$

Notice that for  $n \in [0, \nu_{\sigma+1})$  we have

$$s(N+n) = s(N) + s(n).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F_\beta(N_1) &= F_\beta(N) + \sum_{n=N}^{N_1-1} e^{2\pi i(\beta n + \frac{1}{2}s(n))} \\ &= F_\beta(N) + \sum_{n=0}^{\nu_{\sigma+1}-1} e^{2\pi i(\beta n + \beta N + \frac{1}{2}(s(N) + s(n)))} \\ &= F_\beta(N) + e^{2\pi i(\beta N + \frac{1}{2}s(N))} \sum_{n=0}^{\nu_{\sigma+1}-1} e^{2\pi i(\beta n + \frac{1}{2}s(n))}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (14) and (15),

$$\begin{aligned}
& F_\beta(N_1) = \\
& \sum_{g=0}^{\sigma} e^{2\pi i(\beta \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} 2^{\nu_j} + \frac{g}{2})} \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_g-1} (1 + e^{2\pi i(\beta 2^k + \frac{1}{2})}) \\
& + e^{2\pi i(\beta \sum_{j=0}^{\sigma} 2^{\nu_j} + \frac{\sigma+1}{2})} \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_{g+1}-1} (1 + e^{2\pi i(\beta 2^k + \frac{1}{2})}) \\
& = \sum_{g=0}^{\sigma+1} e^{2\pi i(\beta \sum_{j=0}^{g-1} 2^{\nu_j} + \frac{g}{2})} \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_g-1} (1 + e^{2\pi i(\beta 2^k + \frac{1}{2})}). \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

Formulas (11)-(14) give an explicit expression for  $S_m(N)$  as a linear combination of products of the form

$$(16) \quad \prod_{k=0}^{\nu_g-1} (1 + e^{2\pi i(\beta 2^k + \frac{1}{2})}), \quad \beta = \frac{t}{m}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq m-1.$$

**Remark 1.** One may derive (14) from a very complicated general formula of Gelfond [5]. However, we prefered to give an independent proof.

In particular, if  $N = 2^\nu$ , then from (11)-(13) and (15) for

$$(17) \quad \beta = \frac{t}{m}, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, m-1,$$

we obtain the known formula cf. [3]:

$$(18) \quad S_{m,a}(2^\nu) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{t=1}^{m-1} e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{m} a} \prod_{k=0}^{\nu-1} (1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{m} 2^k}).$$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Consider the equation of order  $r$

$$(19) \quad z^r + c_1 z^{r-1} + \dots + c_r = 0$$

with the roots

$$(20) \quad z_j = \prod_{t \in C_j} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{m}}\right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

Notice that for  $t \in C_j$  we have

$$(21) \quad \prod_{k=n+1}^{n+h} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t2^k}{m}}\right) = \left(\prod_{t \in C_j} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{m}}\right)\right)^{\frac{h}{h_j}} = z_j^{\frac{h}{h_j}},$$

where  $h$  is defined by (7). Therefore, for every  $t \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ , according to (19) we have

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \prod_{k=n+1}^{n+rh} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t2^k}{m}}\right) \\ & + c_1 \prod_{k=n+1}^{n+(r-1)h} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t2^k}{m}}\right) + \dots \\ & + c_{r-1} \prod_{k=n+1}^{n+h} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t2^k}{m}}\right) + c_r = 0. \end{aligned}$$

After multiplication by  $e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{m}a} \prod_{k=0}^n \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t2^k}{m}}\right)$  and summing over  $t = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ , by (18) we find

$$(23) \quad S_{m,a}(2^{n+rh+1}) + c_1 S_{m,a}(2^{n+(r-1)h+1}) + \dots + c_{r-1} S_{m,a}(2^{n+h+1}) + c_r S_{m,a}(2^{n+1}) = 0.$$

Moreover, using the general formulas (11)-(14) for a positive integer  $u$ , we obtain the equality

$$(24) \quad S_{m,a}(2^{rh+1}u) + c_1 S_{m,a}(2^{(r-1)h+1}u) + \dots + c_{r-1} S_{m,a}(2^{h+1}u) + c_r S_{m,a}(2u) = 0.$$

Putting here

$$(25) \quad S_{m,a}(2^u) = f_{m,a}(u),$$

we have

$$(26) \quad f_{m,a}(y+rh+1) + c_1 f_{m,a}(y+(r-1)h+1) + \dots + c_{r-1} f_{m,a}(y+h+1) + c_r f_{m,a}(y+1) = 0,$$

where

$$(27) \quad y = \log_2 u.$$

The characteristic equation of (27) is

$$(28) \quad v^{rh} + c_1 v^{(r-1)h} + \cdots + c_{r-1} v^h + c_r = 0.$$

A comparison of (28) and (20)-(21) shows that the roots of (28) are

$$(29) \quad v_{j,w} = e^{\frac{2\pi i w}{h}} \prod_{t \in C_j} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{h}}, \quad w = 0, \dots, h-1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

Thus,

$$(30) \quad v = \max |v_{j,l}| = 2 \max_{1 \leq l \leq m-1} \left( \prod_{k=0}^{h-1} \left| \sin \frac{\pi l 2^k}{m} \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{h}}.$$

Generally speaking, some numbers in (20) could be equal. In view of (29), the  $v_{j,w}$ 's have the same multiplicities. If  $\eta$  is the maximal multiplicity, then according to (27), (30)

$$(31) \quad S_{m,a}(u) = f_{m,a}(\log_2 u) = O \left( (\log_2 u)^{\eta-1} u^{\frac{\ln v}{\ln 2}} \right).$$

Nevertheless, at least

$$(32) \quad S_{m,a}(u) = \Omega \left( u^{\frac{\ln v}{\ln 2}} \right).$$

Indeed, let, say,  $v = |v_{1,w}|$  and in the solution of (27) with some natural initial conditions, all coefficients of  $y^{j_1} v_{1,w}^y$ ,  $j_1 \leq \eta - 1$ ,  $w = 0, \dots, h-1$ , are 0. Then  $f_{m,a}(y)$  satisfies a difference equation with the characteristic equation not having roots  $v_{1,w}$  and the corresponding relation for

$S_{m,a}(2^n)$  (see (23)) has the characteristic equation (20) without the root  $z_1$ . This is impossible since by (18) and (21) we have

$$S_{m,a}(2^{h+1}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{t \in C_j} e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{m} a} \prod_{k=1}^h (1 - e^{2\pi i \frac{t}{m} 2^k}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{t \in C_j} e^{-2\pi i \frac{t}{m} a} z_j^{\frac{h}{h_j}}.$$

Therefore, not all considered coefficients vanish, and (32) follows. Now from (30)- (32) we obtain (8). ■

**Remark 2.** In (8) it is sufficient to let  $l$  run over a system of distinct representatives of the cyclotomic cosets  $C_1, \dots, C_r$  of 2 modulo  $m$ .

**Remark 3.** It is easy to see that there exists  $l \geq 1$  such that  $|C_l| = 2$  if and only if  $m$  is a multiple of 3. Moreover, in the capacity of  $l$  we can take  $\frac{m}{3}$ . Now from (8) choosing  $l = \frac{m}{3}$  we obtain that  $\alpha = \lambda = \frac{\ln 3}{\ln 4}$ . This result was obtained in [9] together with estimates of the constants in  $S_{m,0}(x) = O(x^\lambda)$  and  $S_{m,0}(x) = \Omega(x^\lambda)$  which are based on the proved in [9] formula

$$S_{m,0}(x) = \frac{3}{m} S_{3,0}(x) + O(x^{\lambda_1})$$

for  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(m) < \lambda$  and Coquet's theorem [2].

**Example 1.** Let  $m = 17$ ,  $a = 0$ . Then  $r = 2$ ,  $h = 8$ ,

$$C_1 = \{1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 15, 13, 9\}, \quad C_2 = \{3, 6, 12, 7, 14, 11, 5, 10\}.$$

The calculation of  $\alpha_l = 1 + \frac{1}{8 \ln 2} \sum_{k=0}^{17} (\ln |\sin \frac{\pi l 2^k}{17}|)$  for  $l = 1$  and  $l = 3$  gives

$\alpha_1 = -0.12228749 \dots$ ,  $\alpha_3 = 0.63322035 \dots$ . Therefore by Theorem 1,  $\alpha = 0.63322035 \dots$ . Moreover, we are able to prove that

$$\alpha = \frac{\ln(17 + 4\sqrt{17})}{\ln 256}.$$

Indeed, according to (23), for  $n = 0$  and  $n = 1$  we obtain the system ( $S_{17,0} = S_{17}$ ):

$$(33) \quad \begin{cases} c_1 S_{17}(2^9) + c_2 S_{17}(2) = -S_{17}(2^{17}) \\ c_1 S_{17}(2^{10}) + c_2 S_{17}(2^2) = -S_{17}(2^{18}) \end{cases}$$

By direct calculations we find

$$\begin{aligned} S_{17}(2) &= 1, \quad S_{17}(2^2) = 1, \quad S_{17}(2^9) = 21, \\ S_{17}(2^{10}) &= 29, \quad S_{17}(2^{17}) = 697, \quad S_{17}(2^{18}) = 969. \end{aligned}$$

Solving (33) we obtain

$$c_1 = -34, \quad c_2 = 17.$$

Thus, by (23) and (24)

$$(34) \quad S_{17}(2^{n+17}) = 34S_{17}(2^{n+9}) - 17S_{17}(2^{n+1}), \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$(35) \quad S_{17}(2^{17}x) = 34S_{17}(2^9x) - 17S_{17}(2x), \quad x \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Putting furthermore

$$(36) \quad S_{17}(2^x) = f(x),$$

we have

$$f(y+17) = 34f(y+9) - 17(y+1),$$

where  $y = \log_2 x$ . Hence,

$$f(x) = O\left((17 + 4\sqrt{17})^{\frac{x}{8}}\right),$$

$$(37) \quad S_{17}(x) = O\left((17 + 4\sqrt{17})^{\frac{1}{8}\log_2 x}\right) = O(x^\alpha),$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\ln(17 + 4\sqrt{17})}{\ln 256} = 0.633220353\dots$$

#### 4. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 2 AND 3

a) By the conditions of Theorem 2 we have  $r = 1$ ,  $h = p - 1$ . Using (8) we have

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)\ln 2} \ln \prod_{k=0}^{p-2} \left| \sin \frac{\pi 2^k}{p} \right| = 1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)\ln 2} \ln \prod_{l=1}^{p-1} \sin \frac{\pi l}{p}.$$

Furthermore, using the identity [4, p.378],

$$\prod_{l=1}^{p-1} \sin \frac{l\pi}{p} = \frac{p}{2^{p-1}}$$

we find

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{(p-1)\ln 2} (\ln p - (p-1)\ln 2) = \frac{\ln p}{(p-1)\ln 2}. \blacksquare$$

**Remark 4.** In this case, (24) has the simple form

$$S_{p,a}(2^p u) + c_1 S_{p,a}(2u) = 0.$$

Since in the case of  $a = 0$  or  $1$  we have

$$S_{p,a}(2) = (-1)^{s(a)},$$

while in the case of  $a \geq 2$ ,

$$S_{p,a}(2a) = (-1)^{s(a)},$$

then putting

$$u = \begin{cases} 1, & a = 0, 1, \\ a, & a \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

we find

$$c_1 = (-1)^{s(a)+1} \begin{cases} S_{p,a}(2^p), & a = 0, 1, \\ S_{p,a}(a2^p), & a \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

In particular, if  $p = 3$ ,  $a = 2$  we have  $c_1 = S_{3,2}(16) = -3$  and

$$S_{3,2}(8u) = 3S_{3,2}(2u).$$

**Remark 5.** If Artin's conjecture on the infinity of primes for which 2 is a primitive root is true, then for  $\alpha = \alpha(p)$  we have

$$\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(p) = 0.$$

b) By the conditions of Theorem 3 we have  $r = 2$ ,  $h = \frac{p-1}{2}$ , such that for cyclotomic cosets of 2 modulo  $p$

$$C_1 = -C_2.$$

Therefore, in (8) for  $l_1 = 1$  and  $l_2 = p - 1$  we obtain the same values.

Thus,

$$\alpha = 1 + \frac{2}{(p-1)\ln 2} \ln \left( \prod_{l=1}^{p-1} \sin \frac{\pi l}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\ln p}{(p-1)\ln 2}. \blacksquare$$

Using Theorems 1-3, in particular we find

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(3) &= 0.7924..., \alpha(5) = 0.5804..., \alpha(7) = 0.4678..., \alpha(11) = 0.3459, \\ \alpha(13) &= 0.3083..., \alpha(17) = 0.6332..., \alpha(19) = 0.2359..., \alpha(23) = 0.2056..., \\ \alpha(29) &= 0.1734..., \alpha(31) = 0.6358..., \alpha(37) = 0.1447..., \alpha(41) = 0.4339..., \\ \alpha(43) &= 0.6337..., \alpha(47) = 0.1207...\end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit. *Automatic Sequences: Theory, Applications, Generalizations*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [2] J. Coquet, A summation formula related to the binary digits, *Invent. Math.* **73** (1983), 107-115.
- [3] M. Drmota and M. Skalba, Rarified sums of the Thue-Morse sequence, *Trans. AMS* **352**, no.2 (1999), 609-642.
- [4] G. Freiman and H. Halberstam, On a product of sines, *Acta Arithmetica* **XLIX** (1988), 378-385.
- [5] A. O. Gelfond, Sur les nombres qui ont des proprietes additives et multiplicatives donnees, *Acta Arithmetica* **XIII** (1968), 259-265.
- [6] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, Elsevier/North Holland, 1977.
- [7] D. I. Newman, On the number of binary digits in a multiple of three, *Proc. AMS* **21** (1969), 719-721.
- [8] D. Redmond, *Number Theory: an Introduction*, Marcel Dekker, N.Y., 1996.
- [9] V. Shevelev, Estimates of Newman sum over multiples of a fixed integer, *arXiv (math.NT)*, 0804.0144 .
- [10] N. J. A. Sloane, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (<http://www.research.att.com>)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BEER-SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL. E-MAIL:SHEVELEV@BGU.AC.IL